Date: 31/05/2016 12:20:14

Public Consultation on a proposal for a mandatory Transparency Register

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Public Consultation on a proposal for a mandatory Transparency Register

The European Commission seeks the views of all interested parties on the performance of the current Transparency Register for organisations and self-employed individuals engaged in EU policy-making and policy implementation and on its future evolution towards a mandatory scheme covering the European Parliament, the Council of the EU and the European Commission.

QUESTIONNAIRE

*

Are you responding as:

- An individual in my personal capacity
- The representative of an organisation registered in the Transparency Register
- The representative of an organisation not registered in the Transparency Register

*

Please provide your Register ID no:

24633926420-79

*

Name of the organisation:

Better Finance, the European Federation of Investors and Financial Services Users

*

Tho	organ	nicati	ion'e	hoad	office	ic	in:
THE	Organ	แรสเ	ion s	пеаа	OHICE	18	111.

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Cyprus
- Czech Republic
- Germany
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Greece
- Spain
- Finland
- France
- Hungary
- Croatia
- Ireland
- Italy
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Latvia
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Sweden
- Slovenia
- Slovak Republic
- United Kingdom
- Other country

*Your organisation belongs to the following type:
See a description of the below categories here
Professional consultancies
Caw-firms
Self-employed consultants
Companies and groups
 Trade and business associations
 Trade unions and professional associations
Other organisations including: event-organising entities (profit or non- profit making); interest-related media or research oriented entities linked to private profit making interests; ad-hoc coalitions and temporary structures (with profit-making membership)
 Non-governmental organisations, platforms, networks, ad-hoc coalitions, temporary structures and other similar organisations
Think tanks and research institutions
 Academic institutions
 Organisations representing churches and religious communities
 Regional structures
Other sub-national public authorities
Transnational associations and networks of public regional or other sub-national authorities
Other public or mixed entities, created by law whose purpose is to act in the public interest
Contact for this public consultation:
*
Name
Guillaume
*
Surname
Prache
*Email address (this information will not be published)
viver@betterfinance.eu

A. GENERAL PART (7 questions)

1. Transparency and the EU

1.1 The EU institutions interact with a wide range of groups and organisations representing specific interests. This is a legitimate and necessary part of the decision-making process to make sure that EU policies reflect the interests of citizens, businesses and other stakeholders. The decision-making process must be transparent to allow for proper scrutiny and to ensure that the Union's institutions are accountable.

*
a) Do you agree that ethical and transparent lobbying helps policy development?
Fully agree
Partially agree
Disagree
No opinion
Comments or suggestions (Optional)
3000 character(s) maximum

*

b) It is often said that achieving appropriate lobbying regulation is not just about transparency, i.e. shedding light on the way in which lobbyists and policy-makers are operating. Which of the below other principles do you also consider important for achieving a sound framework for relations with interest representatives?

More than one answer possible

√ Ir	tegrity
-------------	---------

Equality of access

Other (please elaborate in the comments box below)

No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional) 3000 character(s) maximum c) In your opinion, how transparent are the European institutions as public institutions? They are highly transparent They are relatively transparent They are not transparent at all No opinion Comments or suggestions (Optional) 3000 character(s) maximum 1.2 The Transparency Register provides information to politicians and public officials about those who approach them with a view to influencing the decision-making and policy formulation and implementation process. The Register also allows for public scrutiny; giving citizens and other interest groups the possibility to track the activities and potential influence of lobbyists. Do you consider the Transparency Register a useful tool for regulating lobbying? Very useful Somewhat useful Not useful at all No opinion Comments or suggestions (Optional) 3000 character(s) maximum

The Transparency Register is a very useful tool but it needs to be further improved, in terms of making sure that the content is accurate and up-to-date, which is not always the case for all entities in the Transparency Register.

2. Scope of the Register

*

2.1 Activities covered by the Register include lobbying, interest representation and advocacy. It covers all activities carried out to influence - directly or indirectly - policymaking, policy implementation and decision-making in the European Parliament and the European Commission, no matter where they are carried out or which channel or method of communication is used.

This definition is appropriate:

- Fully agree
- Partially agree
- Disagree
- No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

*

2.2 The Register does not apply to certain entities, for example, churches and religious communities, political parties, Member States' government services, third countries' governments, international intergovernmental organisations and their diplomatic missions. Regional public authorities and their representative offices do not have to register but can register if they wish to do so. On the other hand, the Register applies to local, municipal authorities and cities as well as to associations and networks created to represent them.

The scope of the Register should be:

- Changed to exclude certain types of entities (please elaborate in the comments box below)
- Changed to include certain types of entities (please elaborate in the comments box below)
- Preserved the same as currently
- No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

Any party that is not either EU level or Member State level politicians and Govenrment officials should be included in the Transparency Register.

3. Register website

3.1 What is your impression of the Register website?

	Good	Average	Poor	No opinion
*Design and structure	0	0	•	0
*Availability of information / documents	0	•	0	0
*Ease of search function	0	•	0	0
*Accessibility (e.g. features for visually impaired persons, ease of reading page)	•	©	0	•
*Access via mobile devices	0	©	0	•

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3	000 character(s) maximum	

4. Additional comments

Final comments or ideas on any additional subjects that you consider important in the context of this public consultation (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

If you wish you may provide additional information (position papers, reports, etc) in support of your answers to this public consultation. Please upload no more than three files of up to 1Mb each.

Attachments above this number will not be considered.

End of Part A

2. Data disclosure and quality

Part B includes questions that require a certain knowledge of the Transparency Register. Proceed to Part B (optional).

*
Do you want to proceed to Part B ?
YesNo
B. SPECIFIC PART (13 questions)
Structure of the Register
*
1.1 The Register invites organisations to sign up under a particular section, for example, professional consultancies, NGOs, trade associations, etc (Annex I of the <u>Interinstitutional Agreement</u>). Have you encountered any difficulties with this categorisation?
© Yes
No
No opinion
Comments or suggestions (Optional)
3000 character(s) maximum

*

2.1 Entities joining the Register are asked to provide certain information (contact details, goals and remit of the organisation, legislative dossiers followed, fields of interest, membership, financial data, etc) in order to identify the profile, the capacity of the entity and the interest represented (Annex I of the Interin stitutional Agreement).

The right t	vpe of	informa	ation is	s required	from th	e registrant	Ċ:

- Fully agree
- Too much is asked
- Too little is asked
- No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

Trade association should be asked to disclose the total real amount of money spent on lobbying the last fiscal year, not only disclosing like "above \in 10 million".

*

2.2 It is easy to provide the information required:

- Fully agree
- Partially agree
- Disagree
- No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

*

2.3 Do you see any room for simplification as regards the data disclosure requirements?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional) 3000 character(s) maximum 2.4 What is your impression of the overall data quality in the Register: Good Average Poor No opinion Comments or suggestions (Optional) 3000 character(s) maximum Some of the information is not up-to-date and accurate. One example that we are aware of is that some financial industry lobbyists do not disclose the real amount they invest in their lobbying activities. They just use the "above 10 million a year " tag but that does not tell you how much. In addition of this, certain financial institutions in the Transparency Register do not inform of how much staff they employ working for lobbying purposes. 3. Code of Conduct and procedure for Alerts and Complaints 3.1 The Code of Conduct sets out the rules for all those who register and establishes the underlying principles for standards of behaviour in all relations with the EU institutions (Annex III of the Interinstituti onal Agreement). The Code is based on a sound set of rules and principles: Fully agree Partially agree Disagree No opinion Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

nature (Annex IV of the Interinstitutional Agreement).
*
a) The present procedure for dealing with alerts and complaints is adequate:
Fully agreePartially agreeDisagreeNo opinion
Comments or suggestions (Optional)
3000 character(s) maximum
* b) Do you think that the names of organisations that are suspended under the alerts and complaints procedure should be made public?
Yes
O No
No opinion
Comments or suggestions (Optional)
3000 character(s) maximum
4. Register website – registration and updating

3.2 Anyone may trigger an alert or make a complaint about possible breaches of the Code of

Conduct. Alerts concern factual errors and complaints relate to more serious breaches of behavioural

4.1 How user-friendly is in	your opinion the	Register webs	site in relation to	registration	and updating?
iii iioii acci iiioiiaiy ic iii	your opinion and	Trogrator Wood	one in rolation to	1 ogioti ation	arra apaatirig i

	Straightforward	Satisfactory but can be improved	Cumbersome	No opinion
*Registration process	©	•	©	•
*Updating process (annual & partial)	©	•	©	0

3000 character(s) maximum		

5. Current advantages linked to registration

5.1 The European Parliament and the European Commission currently offer certain practical advantages (incentives) linked to being on the Register. The Commission has also announced its intention to soon amend its rules on Expert groups to link membership to registration.
Which of these advantages are important to you?

In the European Parliament (EP)

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not important	No opinion
*Access to Parliament buildings : long-term access passes to the EP's premises are only issued to individuals representing, or working for registered organisations	•	©	©	•
*Committee public hearings: guests invited to speak at a hearing need to be registered	•	©	©	•
*Patronage: Parliament does not grant its patronage to relevant organisations that are not registered	•	©	©	•

In the European Commission

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not important	No opinion
*Meetings: organisations or self-employed individuals engaged in relevant activities must be registered in order to hold meetings with Commissioners, Cabinet members and Directors-General	•	©	•	
*Public consultations: the Commission sends automatic alerts to registered entities about consultations in areas of interest indicated by them; it differentiates between registered and non-registered entities when publishing the results	•	©	•	
*Patronage: Commissioners do not grant their patronage to relevant organisations that are not registered	•	©	©	•
*Mailing lists: organisations featuring on any mailing lists set up to alert them about certain Commission activities are asked to register	©	©	•	•
*Expert groups: registration in the Transparency Register is required in order for members to be appointed (refers to organisations and individuals appointed to represent a common interest shared by stakeholders in a particular policy area)	•		•	•

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

However, although we are a registered NGO serving civil society interest, we have never been invited to a parliamentary committee hearing.

6. Features of a future mandatory system

*

- 6.1 Do you believe that there are further interactions between the EU institutions and interest groups that could be made conditional upon prior registration (e. g. access to MEPs and EU officials, events, premises, or featuring on specific mailing lists)?
 - Yes
 - No
 - No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

As required in Sweden from ministers, MEPs, EU Commissioners and other high ranking EU Commission staff (DG, Deputy SGs , etc.) should disclose publicly on the internet their agenda as far as all meetings with third parties are concerned and identify who these third parties are and who they represent.

*

- 6.2 Do you agree with the Commission's view that the Council of the EU should participate in the new Interinstitutional Agreement on a mandatory Register?
 - Yes
 - O No
 - No opinion

Comments or suggestions (Optional)

3000 character(s) maximum

7. Looking beyond Brussels

level?	arency Register compare overall to 'lobby registers' at the EU Member State
It is better	
It is worse	
It is neither better,	nor worse
No opinion	
Good practices or lessons avoided. (Optional)	learned at the EU Member State level to be considered, or pitfalls to be
4000 character(s) maxim	um
8. Additional comme	ents
	on any additional subjects that you consider important in the context of this
public consultation (Opti	onal)
	onal)
public consultation (Opti	onal)
public consultation (Opti	um ultation
*Publication of your consi	onal)
*Publication of your consultation I do not agree to my	ultation ribution being published. ny contribution being published.
*Publication of your consi	ultation ribution being published. ny contribution being published.
*Publication of your consultation I do not agree to my	ultation ribution being published. ny contribution being published.
*Publication of your consultation I do not agree to my	ultation ribution being published. ny contribution being published.
*Publication of your consi I agree to my cont I do not agree to my Specific privacy statem	ultation ribution being published. ny contribution being published. nent

Contact

SG-TRANSPARENCY-REGISTER-PUBLIC-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu