

PRESS RELEASE

## The Proposed Retail Investment Strategy Shows Potential for Investor Protection Progress, but Fails to Meet its Own Key Targets

**24 May 2023** - BETTER FINANCE, the European Federation of Investors and Financial Services Users, welcomed the European Commission's launch of a Retail Investor Strategy in September 2020, as a once in a lifetime opportunity to create a capital markets Union that works for people. Nevertheless, the legislative proposal, despite incorporating certain positive advancements, falls short of fulfilling several of its own key objectives in enabling EU individual investors to benefit from:

- *"bias free advice",*
  - *"coherent rules across legal instruments",*
  - *"transparent, comparable and understandable product information",*
  - *and "open markets with a variety of competitive and cost-efficient financial services".*
- 
- **"Bias-free advice"**

One of the major disappointments regards the so-called "inducements", in reality mostly trailing sales commissions paid by providers to distributors of packaged retail investment products. BETTER FINANCE does welcome the proposals to extend the ban on inducements to insurance-based investment products ("IBIPs"), and to ban inducements on "execution-only" (non-advised) sales of investment products, two measures that BETTER FINANCE strongly advocated for. However, this specific and limited ban on "non-advised" sales seems to apply de facto only to the small minority of MiFID regulated products (essentially investment funds sold directly). Indeed, the Proposal allows Member States to prohibit "non-advised" sales of the much more widely used life insurance and pension products. Consequently, if the sale of IBIPs without advice is disallowed, it would essentially render the ban on inducements for non-advised sales of IBIPs ineffective.

- **"Coherent rules across legal instruments"**

BETTER FINANCE notes more generally that the proposal crucially fails to adequately tackle the requirement for consistent conflict-of-interest rules across diverse categories of retail savings products. In addition to the aforementioned points, it is worth noting that the proposal fails to extend the prohibition of inducements for portfolio management services under MiFID to portfolio management services for insurance-based investment products (IBIPs) and pension products.

BETTER FINANCE has also been a vocal advocate for this necessary consistency of investor protection rules across various categories of retail investment products. However, the failure to address this issue leaves the conflicts of interest associated with portfolio managers receiving mandates from retail investors for IBIPs unresolved. As a result, there remains a significant opportunity for regulatory arbitrage to the detriment of IBIPs investors.

- **"Transparent, comparable and understandable product information"**

In relation to the Packaged Retail and Insurance-based Investment Products (PRIIPs) Key Information Document (KID), BETTER FINANCE identifies several key unresolved concerns in the proposal. These include the lack of measures to address the issues of comparability and intelligibility, as well as the "pseudo-science"<sup>1</sup> of disclosing non-comparable, non-probability-weighted future performance

---

<sup>1</sup> As highlighted by Professor John Kay.

projections based solely on past five-year performances. This approach is misleading and rightly discouraged by MiFID. In this regard, the Proposal does not even mention the recent regulatory improvement<sup>2</sup> achieved by BETTER FINANCE and others, requiring the inclusion of an internet link in the Key Information Document (KID) of UCITS investment funds, leading to disclosures of long-term actual performance of the funds compared to their benchmarks. Despite the positive focus on digitalisation in the proposal, retail investors still urgently require clear and comparable disclosures of actual performance and costs.

Last but not least, BETTER FINANCE finds the Value for Money proposals to be of interest. However, BETTER FINANCE expresses concern regarding the prolonged process envisaged to finalise these measures and their effectiveness in **enforcing existing regulations that prohibit "undue costs"**, as well as their ability to match or improve on the effectiveness of measures already implemented by regulatory bodies such as the UK's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), for example.

BETTER FINANCE also calls for clear inspection powers and modification requirements for interactive tools to ensure compliance, an aspect that the proposal does not seem to address.

Guillaume Prache, Managing Director of BETTER FINANCE, regrets that *"the Retail Investment Strategy risks turning into a missed opportunity to finally bring about a Capital Markets Union "that works for people". As a recent report<sup>3</sup> by BETTER FINANCE on the progress of the European Capital Markets Union highlights, the structure of EU households' financial savings has not improved at all as envisaged by the CMU Action Plan, since citizens still have very limited access to simple and cost-efficient investment products such as index ETFs, listed equity and bonds. Many of them aspire to participate as investors in fostering a more sustainable economy, but they can't.<sup>4</sup> We urge European and Member State policymakers to improve the Proposal now, in order to ensure that it aligns with its original objectives."*

\*\*\*

Contact: Chief Communications Officer | Arnaud Houdmont | +32 (0)2 514 37 77 | [houdmont@betterfinance.eu](mailto:houdmont@betterfinance.eu)

#### Related Research Reports by BETTER FINANCE:

- [Robo-advice 2022 Report](#) | Breaking Barriers of Traditional Advice
- [CMU Assessment Report 2019-2022](#) | Building a Capital Markets Union "That Works for People"
- [Withholding Taxes Research Report](#) | Withholding taxes on dividends in the European Union: An uphill battle for individual shareholders
- [Barriers to shareholder engagement](#) | SRD II Revisited (AGM season 2022)

---

<sup>2</sup> EU delegated Regulation 2021/2268c of 6 September 2021, articles 8 and 17a

<sup>3</sup> [CMU Assessment Report 2019-2022](#) | Building a Capital Markets Union "That Works for People"

<sup>4</sup> [Barriers to shareholder engagement](#) | SRD II Revisited (AGM season 2022)